Dear editor:

With great interest we read the article by López-Valdés et al. about the neuropsychiatric allusions in Shakespeare’s work. The landscape offered by the authors undoubtedly invites to delve into the Bard’s work, in a clinical-literary analysis; thus we agree with them in the “Renaissance neurologist” denomination. The authors skillfully point out the neurological references present in Hamlet: his depression and psychopathy, and “juvenile female madness” in Ophelia.

However, we noticed the omission of one of Shakespeare’s most celebrated neuropsychiatric allusions: the Ophelia syndrome, memory loss (paraneoplastic limbic encephalitis) secondary to Hodgkin’s disease. In addition to coining the eponym (after observing the symptoms in his own daughter), Ian Carr prefigured neuronal autoantibodies at least four years before they were associated with the disease. Why Ophelia? We must remember that Ophelia—in Hamlet, “divided from herself and her fair judgment”, drowns herself in the brook, after the death of Polonius—her father—at the hands of Hamlet, a circumstance that she herself brought on by rejecting him, ironically, by orders of Polonius himself. In the description of the syndrome, the patient defeats lymphoma and survives, recovering her memory. Although the outcomes diverge, something in common underlies: in both cases, the patients, “unaware of their own misfortune”, set in motion the mechanisms that lead, in one to amnesia and in the other to death, thus maintaining the appropriateness of the eponymous and the prestige of the “Renaissance neurologist”.
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