350 years of hyperbaric medicine: historic, physiopathologic and therapeutic aspects

Oswaldo Huchim1, Fernando Rivas-Sosa2, Normando Rivera-Canul3 and Nina Méndez-Domínguez3

1Unidad Experimental Marista; 2Hospital General O’Horan; 3Universidad Marista de Mérida. Mérida, Yucatán, Mexico

Abstract

The early use of hyperbaric therapy started with the quest to relieve respiratory problems among inhabitants of large cities during the industrial revolution, and from this, we have explored the benefits of treatment with hyperbaric oxygen in different areas of medicine. With the advances of the medical sciences, our knowledge concerning the therapies with hyperbaric oxygenation certainly has broadened and hyperbaric medicine still intrigues the contemporary medical researchers that are in seek of improve the quality of life of their patients.


Introduction

Hyperbaric oxygenation provides a therapy mainly intended for the treatment of decompression sickness, generated by changes in environmental pressure, as it occurs in the case of diving and aeronautics. In a second place, hyperbaric oxygenation is used for cosmetic purposes, owing to its popularized use to counteract cell aging. However, hyperbaric medicine offers much more than this and is, with no doubt, a useful resource during the comprehensive treatment of various pathologies that involve ischemic processes.

Hyperbaric oxygenation can have several applications both in emergency situations and to potentiate the effect of comprehensive therapies in chronic pathologies or acute events’ sequels. The purpose of the present article is to review the history and pathophysiogenic mechanisms involved in hyperbaric therapy.

Background

Oxygen, as other gases, reacts with pressurization and depressurization; when oxygen concentration increases owing to its solubility under pressure, its diffusion gradient is increased, which enables deep penetration into tissues. It is by this principle that the treatment with hyperbaric oxygenation helps in the repair of poorly perfused, hypoxic, ischemic, infarcted or necrotic tissue areas. Better oxygenation enables the triggering of the tissue recovery process and, in addition, it facilitates reperfusion and angiogenesis.

Hyperbaric oxygen effect on the treatment of different health conditions could be known thanks to experiments carried out in hyperbaric chambers with diverse animal models1.

Pathologic conditions involving ischemia improve with hyperbaric oxygen treatment, since this method consists in 100% oxygen inhalation inside a hyperbaric chamber under pressure, usually at between 2 and
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3 atmospheres. As in any treatment, hyperbaric chamber sessions imply dosing both in terms of pressure and in the number and duration of sessions. Dosing should be indicated according to the medical condition to be treated, its seriousness and time of evolution\textsuperscript{2,3}.

There are two types of hyperbaric chambers: monoplace or multiplace. While in the monoplace chambers pressurization occurs by means of oxygen and pressure increase is systemic, multichamber chambers are pressurized with air and oxygen is supplied with a facemask, a helmet or and endotracheal tube, as appropriate\textsuperscript{4}.

Methodology

A review was conducted from the 18\textsuperscript{th} century on, where historical texts and manuscripts, digitalized by university libraries, were analyzed. Documents related to topics associated with barometric, climatic and topographic characteristics of health and disease processes were included, but also the conceptual bases for the knowledge of the physiological properties of gases in the human body. The search for articles published since the 18\textsuperscript{th} century was performed in PubMed and included the terms “caisson disease” or “hyperbaric oxygen therapy”, with 651 registries being obtained, among which those addressing different diseases or syndromes treated with hyperbaric oxygen therapy were selected, with those that were more recent and had the highest level of available evidence (articles based on expert opinions have the lowest level of evidence, followed by case reports, original articles, descriptive reviews, systematic reviews and meta-analyses) being preferred to be included in the present review.

Physical and physiological bases of hyperbaric oxygenation therapy

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy effects are based on biochemical processes that are triggered by hyperoxegenation and by physiological effects that are favored according with physical laws and with the properties of gases.

In the human body, oxygen is largely transported by the blood tissue, bound to hemoglobin. In addition, a proportion of oxygen is transported as a solution, and this proportion of oxygen can be increased and improve tissue oxygenation according to the principles outlined in Henry’s law\textsuperscript{4,5}.

Henry’s law establishes that the amount of gas dissolved in a fluid or tissue is proportional to the partial pressure of said gas in contact with the fluid or tissue. This is the basis to understand the growing pressures of oxygen in the tissue when hyperbaric oxygen therapy is received. This law also has implications in the pathophysiology of decompression sickness, since it affects inert gases (especially nitrogen) tissue concentrations as well, which generates effects on the concentration of said gas in the presence of barometric changes, thus eliciting an arterial embolism if reuptake in solution is not achieved\textsuperscript{5,7}. At room temperature, oxygen is at a concentration of 97%. When breathing normobaric oxygen, oxygen arterial pressure is 100 mmHg, while its tissue pressure is 55 mmHg, and in each liter of blood, there are approximately 3 mL of oxygen available. When breathing 100\%m oxygen at 3 absolute atmospheres, oxygen arterial and tissue pressures increase to 2000 and 500 mmHg, respectively, thus allowing that for each liter of blood there are 60 mL of oxygen available. In response to this high amount of oxygen available, body tissues could potentially remain oxygenated even in the absence of hemoglobin-transported oxygen. This way, hyperbaric oxygenation allows for those zones of damaged tissue to be oxygenated, even if the passage of blood is obstructed or when red blood cells are incompetent to transport oxygen by itself, as in the case of poisoning with carbon monoxide and anemia gravis; in addition, it enables oxygenation independently of hemoglobin transport in cases where there is microvascular damage, as it occurs in diabetes\textsuperscript{6,10}.

Oxygen captured by respiration also varies during hyperbaric chamber treatment since, during the descent to deepness, oxygen intra-alveolar pressure increases; this occurs as a physiological response Boyle’s law and Dalton’s law. Dalton’s law outlines that, at constant temperature, the pressure of a gas is inversely proportional to its volume, whereas Dalton’s law states that, in a mixture of gases, each element exerts a pressure that id proportional to its fraction of total volume; these laws explain the effect of oxygen partial pressure and its intra-alveolar disposition\textsuperscript{11-14}.

In infections, free-radicals act oxidizing proteins and membrane lipids, which damages the DNA and bacterial metabolic functions. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy increases free radical concentrations, and this is why it is particularly effective against anaerobic microorganisms by promoting the oxygen-dependent
peroxidase system; this system is used by leukocytes
to attack bacteria. Furthermore, it also improves the
transport of oxygen-dependent antimicrobials, allowing
their penetration through the cell walls, thus contribut-
ing to and potentiating their efficacy15-18.

In tissue lesions, particularly in compartmental syn-
dromes, compression lesions, flaps and lacerations,
leukocytes tend to direct and adhere to damaged tis-
sues to release free radicals and proteases during reper-
fusion but, paradoxically, this process can lead
to pathological vasoconstriction causing tissue de-
struction mediated by hypoxia. Similar process occurs
during the neuronal and cardiac ischemia-reperfusion
process during infarctions. There is evidence that
hyperbaric oxygen reduces leukocyte adherence and
post-ischemic vasoconstriction, which results in better
perfusion of ischemic areas, while favoring oxygen-
ation even in the absence of good perfusion. Another
mechanism by means of which hyperbaric chamber
treatment favors the healing of ischemia-perfusion le-
sions is by amplifying oxygen gradients in the periph-
ery of ischemic lesions, thereby promoting angiogen-
esis, which requires the formation of collagen matrix,
which in turn is oxygen-dependent. Finally, hyperbaric
oxygen therapy enables blood flow redistribution ow-
ing to gradient differences, which alleviates edema
generated in damaged tissues, thus reducing pain and
improving the function19-23.

It is mainly because of the physical laws here ex-
posed, and the physiological and pathophysiological
pathways involved, that hyperbaric medicine offers
benefits for health conditions involving said pathways.

History

The birth of hyperbaric medicine goes back, as ev-
ey finding, to observation and analysis, in this case,
of the difference in physiological and health aspects
of human populations living in the different geograph-
cal zones24-26.

Arbuthnot, circa 1655, mentioned the relationship
between humidity and the efficiency of air inspired in
different places of the world. For the decade of 1660,
in England, the Industrial Revolution and the emission
of gases by factories with machines operating with
steam and carbon generated concerns in men of sci-
ence and doctors of those days. It was since that
moment that a growing awareness was born that air
pollution was having effects on human health and
wellbeing. Authors such as Digby, in 1658, indicated
among their therapeutic recommendations moving out
from London to those who had “weak lungs, but high
income”, to avoid carbon-polluted air27,28.

Nathaniel Henshaw, and English doctor and clergy-
man, member of the then nascent Royal Society,
aware of the atomist theory, of meteorology, which
was founding its bases in those days, and integrating
knowledge of atmospheric science with the principles
of Boyle’s law, was able to explain the differences he
had identified in the status of health of people living
outside the cities, and believed he had found a rela-
tionship between the weather and altitude of the place
of residence and human health and disease profiles13,25.

Henshaw, circa 1662, constructed a domicilium,
which consisted of a chamber with a pressurized be-
lows with a mechanism of piped with valves that, when
manipulated, allowed or limited the passage of the air
contained in the chamber, by means of which he could
control the chamber inner pressure. In this primitive
hyperbaric chamber, the first treatments based on
hyperbaric air were offered with the purpose to pro-
vide poor air to the patients and treat tissues with
purulent secretions or “miasmas”. Thomas Sprat ad-
dressed Henshaw’s work in his book on the knowl-
dge generated by the Royal Society, in 173424,29,30.

Another study of the epoch with important repercus-
sions in the development of hyperbaric oxygenation
was the one by Joseph Black in 1750, who, in assays
with mice, isolated common air carbon monoxide. By
1772, Rutherford discovered nitrogen, and later, in
1774, Priestly was the first one to find and leave a
written report about his transcendent discovery: oxy-
gen29,31.

Originally, Priestly was trying to generate “dephlo-
gisticated air”, since in his epoch it was thought that
this way the “flammable” characteristics would be re-
moved from the air. This belief was based on the
“phlogiston theory”, which in turn was based on the
flammable properties of a hypothetical substance that
was thought to be part of common air. Thanks to
Priestly, the existence of phlogiston could be discard-
ed, this way preparing the way for pneumatic chemis-
try. According to his registries, when experimenting
with a murid model, the mouse managed to recover
from an aggression with fire “much better” than if it
would have breathed common air. Subsequently, La-
voisier was the first one to name oxygen and to de-
scribe its role on combustion, which may have dis-
couraged physicians of his time about the use of said
gas, in spite of the beneficial effects described by
Priestly. In 1794, the first hyperbaric oxygen therapy center was reported\textsuperscript{32,33}.

It is probable that the first hermetically sealed was the idea of Cochrane in 1830, wit it was patented until 1839 by Trigger, who designed it to avoid decompression sickness in French miners, and hence the chambers were known with their French name caisson. In 1834, Williams defined the “caisson disease”, caused by barometric changes in high places and deep places, which generate the formation of bubbles and air embolism, defining it as divers’ disease in his aerotherapeutics treaty, which addresses health and atmospheric pressure; planes for the manufacturing of chambers are therein included. In 1857, Paul Bernard described oxygen affinity for hemoglobin, with the demand for hyperbaric oxygenation services in Europe and the USA being triggered on that epoch. These services were initially provided to heal lesions, cure infections and improve the respiratory function, and immediately they became spaces for cosmetic care, and were even frequent by singers to preserve their tone of voice. In his text, he included the diagrams and plans of the chambers available on his epoch, as well as their functioning\textsuperscript{1,3,2,4-38}.

The first construction of a chamber for laborers working in the construction of a bridge goes back to 1859 in Rochester, England, by Wright and Hedges. The track for the subway and the Hudson River Bridge in the USA started with the construction of the main tunnel in 1872 by A. Roebling. Both Brooklyn bridge granite foundations were built by laborers who descended in wooden platforms, submerging to up to 44 feet on the Brooklyn side and 78 feet on the New York side. Initially, laborers descended without taking presurization into account, but serious consequences were soon to be observed owing to decompression sickness. In that moment, little was known about the risks of working under that conditions, and more than 100 workers suffered decompression sickness. Washington Roebling himself experienced a gas embolism that left him partially paralyzed for the rest of his life. When this problem was identified, boxes started being used instead of platforms for the descent. The boxes consisted of chambers or compartments, each one with different pressure, which enabled the individual to gradually pass from one to another, maintaining the doors hermetically closed, and thus avoid abrupt barometric changes that lead to decompression. Brooklyn bridge doctor, Adam Smith, picked up the term caisson disease and defined a preemptive technique and the decompression cases in 1871 and 1873, describing manifestations such as epigastric pain and neurological involvement. On May 24, 1883, Emily Roebling took the first stroll on the finished bridge with a rooster as a symbol of victory; this marked the end of the Brooklyn bridge construction and the beginning of the construction of increasingly complex hyperbaric chambers\textsuperscript{38-41}.

Between 1904 and 1940, different works related to decompression sickness were published, with body fat, and hence obesity, being identified as a risk factor; in addition, the tissue damage caused by decompression was described according to the type of affected organs, with hyperbaric oxygen therapeutic effect being emphasized. In 1937, Behnke and Shaw successfully treated decompression sickness and subsequently carbon monoxide poisoning; in those days, the most prominent was the one of Cunningham, in Kansas, registered in 1952. As of 1956, there was a resurgence of hyperbaric medicine, with temperature control inside hyperbaric chambers, which enabled oxygenating the patients and keeping them warm during heart surgeries for acute processes, and even congenital heart disease repair. Between 1960 and 1977, an estimate of 187 open heart surgeries were performed, which is so far the only approved indication for the use of hyperbaric therapy\textsuperscript{42-47}.

Owing to the interest on standardizing and reaching an agreement on the uses of hyperbaric oxygen, in the decade of 1970, the Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society (UHMS) was formed, which is the one that currently issues guidelines with regard to this therapy\textsuperscript{4}.

By the end of the 20th century, excessive caution owing to the lack of knowledge about the real mechanisms operating in hyperbaric therapy was thought to be the cause of its underuse in cases where it certainly might offer benefits to patients, and by 1990, the body of evidence on its use was rapidly growing, thus enabling a better understanding of hyperbaric therapy mechanism of action and potential benefits. For the first decade of the 21st century, given the concerns of doctors about the use of hyperbaric therapy due to the controversy that had been generated, the UHMS issued a list of the 13 approved uses for hyperbaric therapy. Even when it is true that there are pathophysiological processes where hyperbaric oxygen mechanism of action is still poorly known, the health conditions where hyperbaric oxygen therapy has been employed in hypobaric medicine modern epoch will be described next\textsuperscript{48,49}.
Background of treatment with hyperbaric oxygen

The growing population with overweight, obesity, dyslipidemia and diabetes mellitus often develops sequelae, and many of them are derived from hypoperfusion and peripheral neuropathy. Owing to the lack of adequate microcirculation and innervation in the extremities, diabetes mellitus often generates the formation of ulcers known as “diabetic necrobiosis”. It is described in scientific literature that glycemic imbalance-derived ulcers can be alleviated and even healed with a comprehensive treatment that involves sessions with oxygen in the hyperbaric chamber.

In addition, hyperbaric oxygen often improves perfusion, which favors angiogenesis even in small arteries, such as the retinal artery, the flow of which is commonly affected by diabetes; therefore, the hyperbaric chamber can offer benefits to patients with retinopathy of diabetic and non-diabetic etiology, as well as with retinitis pigmentosa.

Such as hyperbaric oxygen improves oxygenation and favors circulation in small areas of the body, it has also been shown to be effective in the ear, helping to the recovery of two important functions that occur in the auricular area: hearing and balance. This has been found both in people with hearing acuity deterioration and in those who suffer from tinnitus.

By improving perfusion and favoring some neurosensory aspects, hyperbaric oxygen therapy has been found to be useful in the recovery of patients who suffer atherosclerotic strokes, as well as in those who suffer neonatal hypoxia-related cerebral palsy. In certain more complex conditions, as it is the case of Parkinson disease and carbon monoxide poisoning, cognitive function has been able to be improved in subjects who have suffered this type of damage. In general, it provides benefits to patients who suffer damage to the nervous system, even in the case of viral neuropathies, such as those generated by the herpes zoster virus, or in cases where cerebral damage of the recurrent and chromic type, or caused by brain tumors. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy has shown positive effects on the quality of life and function of pediatric patients with disorders of the autistic spectrum.

Hyperbaric oxygen benefits have been explored in the oncological area, with good results being found as a treatment concomitant with radiotherapy, chemotherapy or phototherapy, and after tumor-resection therapies, including mastectomy. These hyperbaric oxygen effects are directly associated with the capacity to regenerate damaged tissues or in healing process; it is precisely because of this that it is also useful in the closure of accident-derived postoperative flaps, even including scalp closure in at least one individual who suffered the detachment of this area of tissue.

In addition, an improvement in bladder function has been found in patients with hemorrhagic cystitis secondary to radiotherapy treatment.

Soft tissues damaged by different aggressions can show an important improvement with the hyperbaric chamber treatment as well, even if there are data consistent with infection or gangrene, or if the latter is of the gas type and generates compartmental syndrome or fascitis. In cardiac tissue, hyperbaric oxygen therapy has served to recover ischemic zones in infarctions, since it favors post-ischemia-reperfusion oxygenation. The same favorable effect of hyperbaric oxygenation that has been observed in the myocardium has also been seen in pulmonary tissue.

In bone tissue, the effectiveness of hyperbaric oxygen has been previously shown in bone lesions and as co-adjuvant in cardiothoracic surgery with sternotomy, as well as in osteonecrosis secondary to surgery-related trauma or to the consumption of bisphosphonates.

In some conditions, either acute or chronic, where toxins that are poisonous to the body need to be cleared, treatment in the hyperbaric chamber is useful; such is the case of carbon monoxide poisoning, which may be generated by exposure to emissions of motor vehicles that use gasoline, just to give an example, or by venom inoculated by venomous animals, such as snakes.

Finally, hyperbaric oxygenation beneficial effects have been reported in hematologic treatments, where it favors oxygenation and improves blood circulation, as it occurs in cases of severe anemia and in blood dyscrasias, such as purpura fulminans.

Its experimental use for the treatment of certain types of infertility has been limited so far, although it has shown promising results.

Discussion

In the light of the review regarding the history of hyperbaric chambers and the use of hyperbaric oxygen with therapeutic purposes, it can be suggested that the physical, physiological and pathophysiological bases support its use in different morbid processes. Hyperbaric medicine is a field of science that has not yet been
fully explored, and although its use in many particular conditions is not supported by evidence from randomized, controlled clinical trials concluding that the benefit of this treatment is significantly superior to others in statistical terms, it is also true that the effectiveness of its use in pathological processes involving hypoperfusion, infection, ischemia or infarction, either acutely or chronically, has not been scientifically ruled out.

The effectiveness of hyperbaric oxygen should, in our opinion, be evaluated as a co-adjuvant therapy in the treatment of patients with pathologies involving hypoperfusion, infection, ischemia or infarction processes, regardless of the etiological nature that has generated said morbid state, since if its effectiveness is tried to be assessed disease by disease, this type of therapy will end up being unnecessarily underused, since, if properly used, it can allow patients healing in shorter time, having less sequels or recovering aspects as important as sensitivity or function, which will directly impact on patients’ quality of life, socialization and productivity.

An aspect that potentially can underlie hyperbaric oxygen therapy underuse in different settings is the cost it implies for insurance companies, which limit their right-holders access to hyperbaric oxygen therapy arguing the lack of evidence of its effectiveness for the specific condition of each insured. A possible solution to this conflict of interests might arise if hyperbaric oxygen therapy indications were not specific for a disease, but for syndromes and pathological processes involving hypoxia, hypoperfusion, infection, ischemia or infarction; this way, the benefits of this therapy could be offered to patients meeting these criteria. This could even be considered an extra benefit that would position insurance companies in advantage over competitors, by offering therapies their competition usually refuses.

Conclusion

As medical science advances, our knowledge regarding hyperbaric oxygen therapy will with no doubt grow, and this is why hyperbaric medicine remains a niche for clinical practice and research, which at 350 years of its initiation maintains the interest of contemporary medical researchers who are looking to improve the quality of life of their patients.
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